

University of Toronto

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL ECONOMY  
100 ST. GEORGE STREET  
TORONTO 5

Nov. 12/64

Hana,

If T.L. carries this off it will  
become a brilliant contribution to  
Coexistence

Servus  
abey

New York

26 Jan 1965  
(For E.P.)

*From:*

Hannover, 26 Jan, 1965

Note on the Debate on Alienation in Socialist Industrial Societies in the Hungarian Journal Valóság (Truth), 1964.

1. Articles on alienation published in Valóság in 1964 include:

- (1) In No. 92 an article about Erich Fromm  
In No. 104 A. Schafft: "The Individual and his creatures"  
In No. 111: George Lukacs: "Motivation of labor and Alienation in Marxist Philosophy and in Contemporary Western Philosophy".  
Attila Agot: "Our present and our future: a Contribution to the Discussion on Alienation"  
Bela Bauer: Book Review of E. Fromm, D. Jarchowaljka and L. Bauder, 1963 Economy Verlag, Munich  
In No. 125 Joseph Laks: "Private Property, Motivation of Labor, and Alienation"  
Imre Balazs: "Alienation and the Psychological Expression of Individuals".

2. According to N. G., one of the arguments defended by those who affirm the continued existence of alienation in a socialist society (Agh, Balazs) is the following: since commodity production continues to exist, commodity fetishism also continues to exist in the Marxist sense, and hence alienation. But since commodity production is now directed by the State, it is with regard to the State that alienation manifests itself.

3. A "conservative" view presented by Gyula Vörösi, who denies that alienation may also derive from elements inherent in a socialist society, centers on the two following points:

- 1) It is not logical to cite "young Marx" rather than "old Marx", since Marx's ideas were presumably more fully developed in his later works.
- 2) alienation exists in a socialist society only because of subjective factors: László in Ideology and Ideals tries much more to emphasize our actual relation to society.

4. There has also been a discussion of "realistic utopias".

*From:*

(for R. F.)

10 January 1965

MEMORANDUM

THE SOURCES IN 19-th CENTURY RUSSIAN LITERATURE OF  
THE CONTINUING DEBATE ON ALIENATION IN THE USSR

1. The Russian debate on alienation began in the works of Turgenev (*Fathers and Sons*) and centered originally on ways of overcoming the "nihilism" that came to Westernized intellectuals together with the purely scientific way of looking at everything. Subsequently while one group of writers, poets, literary critics, and philosophers moved increasingly in the direction of existential philosophy and intuitionism (Dostoevski, Tolstoi, V. Spleviev, A. Blok, N. Berdyaev, N. Lesoksi) and displayed very far-reaching achievements along this line, another group emphasized the unity of inner aspirations with politically-orientated social practice as the prerequisite for a non-utopian approach to the overcoming of alienation. There was much interest, in the second group, in the communes suggested by Owen and Fourier, (and later Tolstoi) until Lenin's extension of Marxism brought an emphasis on proletarian revolutionary armies, and alliance with the peasantry, international strategy, and industrialism (the latter partly as a means for freeing the peasantry from an orientation on tradition). In spite of their very great differences in emphasis, however, these two streams never separated completely, in the sense that neither relinquished its primary concern with the overcoming of alienation or "nihilism". (The title of one of Lenin's early works, "What is to be Done" is also the title of the earliest political novel concerned with the overcoming of nihilism - by Chernyshevski).

2. At the present time, the editors of "The Literary Gazette" (which reaches over a million serious readers) as well as those of many other literary journals are continuing this debate with reference to the new social context that has emerged as a result of industrial development, urbanization, the social pressures of the present as well as of the recent past, and the influence of fashionable but superficial concepts of the modern way of life that originate in Western Europe and the United States. The particular point of view from which the traditional problem is now examined is that of making possible the "education" (the Russian word is "formation") of the future non-alienated "communist man". Partly under the influence of insufficiently noted passages of the recently adopted new program of the Communist Party the future period to which this vision applies is associated, in an important measure, with modernized and culture-oriented rural communities rather than with the urban industrial complexes that prevail today. This permits a scope for discussion that lends itself to such freedom and in which much emphasis is placed on the deeper humanistic ideals as well as on the ideals of personal solidarity. It is natural, therefore, that in this field perhaps even more than in others, those Soviet intellectuals who are aware of the existence of some of the important contributions to philosophy and sociology made outside the Soviet Union in the past fifty years (including those made by some of the Russian emigres) now tend increasingly to encourage their discussion.

3. Since genuine literature is ultimately concerned with the way in which man experiences his successes and failures in meeting his existential problems (including the problem of his relation to future history and evolution) within the historical circumstances that are specific for his nation and his own generation, the writer who is loyal to his capacity to experience truth may be expected to remain the best measure of the extent to which particular ways of life and particular social arrangements, as well as accidental circumstances, will fail in meeting completely the requirements that stem from the inner norms of life. Accordingly, so long as the ultimate objectives of a society will continue to lie in this direction this may be expected to continue to endow the representatives of literature with an exceptional measure of political influence.

Paul Hadow

In Karl Polanyi's memory.

On April 23rd 1964, after a long life devoted to the development of the social sciences, ~~Karl Polanyi~~ died in Toronto, Canada—far from his Hungarian homeland, and far from Vienna where he had spent many productive years of his life. The leading part played by him in the establishment of this journal, in full consciousness of his illness and its inevitable outcome, was regarded by him as the crowning of his work; I may best honour his memory by ~~posting~~ reproducing his last letter to me, ~~written~~ a fortnight before his death—with a delay which, as a handnote of his wife tells, was due to the rush about No. 1<sup>st</sup>. Only a few sentences, referring to the production process of No. 1—the proofs of which he could see before his death—are omitted. The opening greetings—directed to me and my wife—are <sup>mainly</sup> in German, as in the original, the rest in English <sup>also</sup> in Karl's letter:

Lieber Rudolf und Mila, wir freuen uns mit Euch dass es endlich so weit ist. And special thanks and congratulations to Joan....

The Journal should try to take up at least some important themes on which we would engage the interest of experts with original minds. Precisely this fortunately happened. Another of these themes that you have strongly stressed yourself is that would be the 'developing' countries—I shouldn't be surprised if pan-Africanism turned out to be a topic in that field. Yet another should be Joan's idea mooted in Cambridge (in December '60) which should establish the Journal's importance for socialist thought.... In W.W.Rostow's theory of stages the reactionary series of technocracy, managerialism, neo-technocracy and industrialisation reached their scientific apex.... An equally weighty one would be national life under socialism. Few words in political

sociology are now so utterly perverted as nation, national, or even ~~n~~  
nationalistic. After the feudal nation came the bourgeois nation, which  
now is being superseded by the socialist nation. Within a couple of  
generations things may have changed and, unless we break with a con-  
fusing usage, the permanent connotation of words may be lost. The  
essential connotation is here about the communion of humans. The heart  
of the feudal nation was privilege; the heart of the bourgeois nation  
was property; the heart of the socialist nation is the people, where  
collective existence is the enjoyment of a community of a culture. But  
I myself have never lived in such a society.

Karl

J

R.S.  
314 pt1

Galley-Corrections for 'C' No.2(as available on 5.X.after post)  
Turgeon, Galley one 5th para, 2nd line United States, after unclear. Check ms.  
6th para, first line ends long-run, 8th para 1st line the, next para  
Galley 2, in 2nd para co-existing (with hyphen) and be consistent  
throughout with this. 4 lines later experience; 3rd para 4th line develop  
6th para 1st line adviceting; 5 lines later capitalist-orientated  
Bohamian; Galley 3, para 6; 2nd line other; Galley 4, 9th para: Hauss  
Galley 5, 1st para Ritenenica; 6th para 9th line 'by'; 10th para, 1st line esp.  
Cerbelisse (with 2 s!) Galley 7, footnote in 2nd para is 1), 6th para  
5th line product; Galley 9, 2nd para lead to, after confused: check ms!  
similarly for the-perhaps big-gap before Table VI; Galley 10 in text  
exports, as he has imports. The end is on top of Galley 11, footnotes lack-  
ing. Check well with himself - this a case where 'small' incorrectnesses  
may destroy our reputation, the more since he needs those offprints(pai-  
for his Institute). ~~With you on U.C. had my letter on these things, etc.~~

Landheer; Galley 11 last lines 2nd para religious, 9th para upper  
Galley 12, 5th para natural, Galley 13, 14th para expansion. Galley 14 the  
typographical gap at end of last but one para - there are many of these  
József(on Galley 14a) Bog ar. Galley 15, 4th para, no ketting, 7th and 8th  
para, developing and selling; 3rd line from below invariably  
Galley 16 a gap at end, 8th para starts Trade. Galley 18 (end) no institutio-  
Envelborgh-Bertels. This is the really difficult case, and in view  
of the otherwise sensible performance of the printers for first galley  
we must simply reconsider whether we did not overrate ourselves by  
promising contributions in French. My own school and reading-French(my  
articles published in France are all translated) really does not allow  
me to contribute more than in an additional pair of eyes, so, please,  
Mons, associate yourself with someone in Toronto who has reliable French  
and take him or her with you to the printing shop, for precise revision!

Galley 19, 1st para, apparu; 2nd para: car q 'question' expliquer?  
4th para, 4th line, presumably apres avait? 6th para, destructeurs or  
destructrices? 9th para: passage, 10th para par l'U.S.S., after 'qui'  
a word is lacking.

11th para, 1st line theoreticiens, 4 lines later 1961.  
12th para, 3rd line l'etape, 4th lines communes  
13th para: toujours; 14th para presumably actuelle, but perhaps ms. error.  
Galley 20, 2nd para 1st line beaucoup, 3rd para 5th line muddle,  
4th para, revisionistes one n, 5th para, make no God of Mao!  
6th para degage and deja. Next para: what do the dots mean? 4th para from  
below phénomène, next para resources , last but one frontières-but  
before there is a great muddle; in line 5, presumably one 'o' and one 'o'.  
Galley 21, 1st para deux, 2nd para 1st line frontiers ensemble, in 5th  
and 6th paras typographical gaps at end of lines; 5th para from below  
1st line traditionnelle, 4th from below last but one lequel, last para  
5th line from below presumably vis à de.  
Galley 22 2nd para make full stop after industrielle, ainsi in 3rd para  
Vietnam. End of 2nd para presumably dovetent être - but not clear to me.  
5th para: at tout prix and, in last but one line, differend, 8th para deux  
12th para la Chine, 15th para 1st line populations, 16th para nombreuse  
17th para ainsi, last para en contreire(all in first lines of paras).  
Galley 22 before enumeration typographical mess, in para after it implies  
18 last para caratteriser, same muddle in 2nd para on Galley 24, in 3rd  
one energies, and in last one a footnote is numbered 6, while it should  
be a (non-existent) 7 - Note 6 does not refer to it. But you may leave it  
out - interested specialists will find the document. At the end E.-B's  
condition for our accepting articles in French(she did it very briz  
'efly, but satisfied the principle).

Riza Galley 25, 4th para, in last but one line 'to' unnecessary. In next para, again under (3), typographical gaps at end. Four para's later: is 'eclipse' what he means?; end of same para 'grey'.  
Galley 26, 1st para: notable, in 4th para pr. sumably a hyphen omitted, ~~un~~  
Christian, four lines later instead of the s a color; next para: is here, and after dialogue, last sentence of that para is a duplication  
of what a ready has been said in last sentence of galley 26.  
Galley 27 4th para: Johannine. In last but one para, 4th line open bracket before 'or' (by the way, our friend is here slightly Demagogic, to save the continuity with encyclopedias which condemned the oldism not just for its interest in private enterprise but also for what it stated with liberalism as presently understood in USA) but this is the matter...  
Galley 28, 2nd para starts: Communism owes...., 21 lines later Cobden; end of that para order of lines mislaid; last but one para grave.  
There is no signature at end - I would suggest we insert the ordinary, where he teaches (see that the forms of heading and signature are homogeneous throughout the issue).

May 1965

THE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY OF SCIENCE IN THE AGE OF COEXISTENCE

Editorial Note:

Under the rubric "The Social Responsibility of Science in the Age of Coexistence" the next issue will contain two articles concerned with contemporary science-oriented documents that call for the postponement of coexistence.

An article written by a Soviet economist will consider the relevant aspects of the foreign military objectives formulated by V. M. Postov on the basis of his neo-Schumpeterian interpretation of the socio-political stages of economic growth. The other article will consider the doctrine of limited conventional and nuclear warfare under the threat of a full nuclear escalation that has been formulated by Marvin Kalb, a leading American specialist on nuclear warfare.

It is hoped that this will serve to initiate a wider discussion.

THE INFLUENCE OF SCIENTISTS ON POLITICAL DECISIONS IN THE AGE OF ORGANIZED  
AND THE INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY

By Paul Weidow

The threat of a nuclear war is only one manifestation of far deeper problems that stem in part from the very availability of the fruits of science and technology within institutional structures that have not yet been adjusted to their actual implications for the life of man. Under these circumstances, whatever may be the personal aspirations and motivations of individual scientists, their scientific contributions may turn out to be highly destructive from a wider social point of view.

At the same time the scope of the influence of science on social change has been rapidly increasing. In the new epoch created by continuous scientific revolutions it has extended far beyond the formulation of social and political philosophies that derive their inspiration from the methods of science. The intensive and systematic application of science to military, economic, and medical technology is considerably altering the human environment in unexpected ways and subjecting social structures and human norms to disequilibrating pressures. The social sciences tend increasingly to accept the influence of positivism which derives from the natural sciences and from the purely technical approach to the solution of problems. In recent years however, scientists have also begun to influence social change and international relations directly, by formulating expressly scientific criteria for political and military decision-making and by designing comprehensive systems for gathering and analyzing the relevant information. At the present time this development is particularly pronounced

2

in the United States.

This has resulted in part from the inherent association of such fundamental technological developments as atomic bombs, guided missiles, and large-scale electronic computers with the political sphere, and partly from the privileged position of scientists engaged in military research. The need for a scientific analysis of industrial economies in the age of world-wide industrialization, and the growing interest in various forms of central planning have been another source of this influence. Still another stems from the recent development of new mathematical techniques that have endowed electronic computers with an unanticipated range of practical applications.

*development*  
While this is lending a new aspect to the general problem that C. P. Snow has described in terms of the growing barriers to communication between scientists and the representatives of the community, a particular urgency attaches to the manner in which such scientists have tended to confine both diplomatic and military professionalism in formulating the foreign policy<sup>1</sup> of individual nations. For it has resulted, in the United States, in an official acceptance of new doctrines that call for the pre-emptive use of force.

The first of those, which has been formulated by A. W. Roebuck, maintains that the actual socio-political consequence of industrialization will be a universal endorsement of socialist ideologies and an uniform appearance of industrial societies of the national type. It is so more profound that the need to maintain native military resources in the Soviet Union is then defended, and that a need is also inferred for pursuing a policy of political and military intervention in those non-nations of Asia, Africa, and Latin

<sup>1</sup> Cf. A. W. Roebuck, The Mandate Strategy, New York, Harper and Row, 1964; and A. Kartodihardjo, The Air-Plane Establishment, New York, Harper and Row,

American in which Communist governments may deem it proper with the methods of guerrilla warfare.

The second doctrine, which has been formulated by German Rahn, denies that wars have been made obsolete by the threat of nuclear devastation. It maintains, instead, that the United States must learn to tolerate the risk of nuclear destruction in order to reap the exceptional advantages that are provided by the possibility of unwilling to the threat of nuclear warfare as an instrument of national policy. More specifically, it emphasizes that once a nation accepts the risk of nuclear suicide a basis is created for the rational utilization of both conventional warfare and limited nuclear warfare in a manner that makes it possible to alter the existing balance of political and military power in the world.

This second doctrine is particularly dangerous because its formulation must tend to cause other countries to adopt the very same principles as a matter of defense. Its further dissemination, however, has also been made possible by the successful utilization in the United States of a comprehensive computer-aided military planning system that is able to achieve an effective coordination of the military services of several nations in the frictionless requirements of a sound nuclear strategy.

Aside from the stimulus that such doctrines provide to the existing threat of a nuclear war, the chilling postponement of coexistence continues to make it difficult for scientists to ~~protect~~ <sup>survive</sup> from the more general limitations and dangers that attend to the ~~an~~ uncontrolled utilization of science. At the present time, accordingly, an independent appraisal of social and scientific studies ~~that are~~ <sup>and</sup> probing the nature of historical conflict with regard to ~~the~~ importance <sup>of</sup> ~~the~~ most important task confronting the social responsibility of science.

4

This requires, above all, that the limitations of the purely technical approach to the use of military power in international relations be clarified. It also requires that independent studies be undertaken to establish whether scientists advising political decision-makers are in fact providing the full information concerning available alternatives that science can provide, or whether they are also consciously or unconsciously influencing the direction of political decisions by not mentioning certain available alternatives, by misrepresenting the capabilities of science in some field, or by introducing exogenous beliefs that limit the scope of their analysis.

In spite of the continuing influence of a positivistic philosophy that regards science as an end in itself, a fundamentally different view of science also continues to be widely held, which stems not from the modern institution of technology but from the "academic" tradition of Western civilisation that has inspired its hereditary centers of learning for more than two thousand years. In it science and logic continue to appear as the means that man liberates man's thinking from superstition and permit him to alter his social as well as his natural environment in ways that would create the conditions that are natural for his own existence. It is to this point, presumably that one must look for the constructive applications of science that consciousness requires.

New international institutional forms appear to be needed to give expression to this role of the international scientific community and to coordinate the necessary research.

May 1965

THE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY OF SCIENCE IN THE AGE OF COEXISTENCE

Editorial Note:

Under the rubric "The Social Responsibility of Science in the Age of Coexistence" the next issue will contain two articles concerned with contemporary science-oriented doctrines that call for the postponement of coexistence.

Another article written by a Soviet economist will consider the relevant aspects of the foreign policy objectives formulated by W. W. Rostow on the basis of his neo-Schumpeterian interpretation of the socio-political stages of economic growth. The other article will consider the doctrine of limited conventional and nuclear warfare under the threat of a full nuclear escalation that has been formulated by Herman Kahn, a leading American specialist on nuclear warfare.

It is hoped that this will serve to initiate a wider discussion.

~~AND~~ - MAKING  
~~THE INFLUENCE OF SCIENTISTS ON POLITICAL DECISIONS IN THE AGE OF CONFRONTATION~~  
~~AND THE INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY~~

*felt* By Paul Meadow

The threat of a nuclear war is only one manifestation of far deeper problems that stem in part from the very availability of the fruits of science and technology within institutional structures that have not yet been adjusted to their actual implications for the life of man. Under these circumstances, whatever may be the personal aspirations and motivations of individual scientists, their scientific contributions may turn out to be highly destructive from a wider social point of view.

At the same time the scope of the influence of science on social change has been rapidly increasing. In the new epoch created by continuous scientific revolutions it has extended far beyond the formulation of social and political philosophies that derive their inspiration from the methods of science. The intensive and systematic application of science to military, economic, and medical technology is continuously altering the human environment in unexpected ways and subjecting social structures and human norms to disequilibrating pressures. The social sciences tend increasingly to accept the influence of positivism which derives from the natural sciences and from the purely technical approach to the solution of problems. In recent years however, scientists have also begun to influence social change and international relations directly, by formulating supposedly scientific criteria for political and military decision-making and by designing comprehensive systems for gathering and analysing the relevant information. At the present time this development is particularly pronounced

in the United States.

This has resulted in part from the inherent association of such fundamental technological developments as atomic bombs, guided missiles, and large-scale electronic computers with the political sphere, and partly from the privileged position of scientists engaged in military research. The need for a scientific analysis of industrial economies in the age of world-wide industrialisation, and the growing interest in various forms of central planning has been another source of this influence. Still another stems from the recent development of new mathematical techniques that have endowed electronic computers with an unanticipated range of practical applications.

*development*  
 While this is lending a new importance to the general problem that C. P. Snow has described in terms of the growing barriers to communication between scientists and the representatives of the humanities, a particular urgency attaches to the manner in which ~~such~~ scientists have tended to replace both diplomats and military professionals in formulating the foreign policy of individual nations. For it has resulted, in the United States, in an official acceptance of new doctrines that call for the postponement of coexistence.\*

The first of these, which has been formulated by W. W. Rostow, maintains that the eventual socio-political consequences of industrialization will be a universal abandonment of socialist ideologies and a uniform appearance of industrial societies of the American type. It is on these grounds that the need to maintain active military pressures on the Soviet Union is then defended, and that a need is also inferred for pursuing a policy of political and military interventionism in those new nations of Asia, Africa, and Latin

\* cf. W. W. Kaufmann, The McNamara Strategy, New York, Harper and Row, 1964; and A. Bertzog, The War-Peace Establishment, New York, Harper and Row, 1965.

America in which Communist governments may come to power with the methods of guerilla warfare.

The second doctrine, which has been formulated by Herman Kahn, denies that wars have been made obsolete by the threat of nuclear devastation. It maintains, instead, that the United States must learn to accept the risk of nuclear destruction in order to reap the exceptional advantages that are provided by the possibility of resolving to the threat of nuclear warfare as an instrument of national policy. More specifically, it emphasizes that once a nation accepts the risk of nuclear suicide a basis is created for the rational utilization of both conventional warfare and limited nuclear warfare in a manner that makes it possible to alter the existing balance of political and military power in the world.

This second doctrine is particularly dangerous because its implementation must tend to cause other countries to adopt the very same principles as a means of defense. Its further dissemination, however, has also been made possible by the successful utilization in the United States of a comprehensive computer-aided military planning system that is able to achieve an effective subordination of the military services of several nations to the functional requirements of a common nuclear strategy.

Aside from the stimulus that such doctrines provide to the existing threat of a nuclear war, the reviling postponement of coexistence continues to make it difficult for scientists to/mindfully examine freely the more general limitations and dangers that attach to ~~an~~ unconsidered utilization of science. At the present time, accordingly, an independent appraisal of these and similar studies that are influencing the policies of individual nations with regard to coexistence appears to be the most import task confronting the social responsibility of science.

4

This requires, above all, that the limitations of the purely technical approach to the use of military power in international relations be clarified. It also requires that independent studies be undertaken to establish whether scientists advising political decision-makers are in fact providing the full information concerning available alternatives that science can provide, or whether they are also consciously or unconsciously influencing the direction of political decisions by not mentioning certain available alternatives, by misrepresenting the capabilities of science in some field, or by introducing exogenous axioms that limit the scope of their analysis.

In spite of the continuing influence of a positivistic philosophy that worships science as an end in itself, a fundamentally different view of science also continues to be widely held, <sup>IT</sup> which stems not from the modern institutes of technology but from the "academic" tradition of Western civilization that has inspired its humanistic centers of learning for more than two thousand years. In it science and logic continue to appear as the means that can liberate man's thinking from superstition and permit him to alter his social as well as his natural environment in ways that would create the conditions that are natural for his own existence. It is to this source, presumably that one must look for the constructive applications of science that coexistence requires.

New international institutional forms appear to be needed to give expression to this role of the international scientific community and to coordinate the necessary research.

May 5,  
April 26, 1965

REVIEWED RECENTLY

- \*  
1. The P. P. shall publish as from January 1, 1966, the periodical CO-EXISTENCE half-yearly, the two issues of a total length not exceeding 150,000 words per annum, being published at the beginning of January and of July each year.
2. The full control of the contents of the journal will be in the hands of the Editorial Board. The care for publicity and distribution to subscribers and others will be in the hands of the publisher. Copies will be supplied to members of the Editorial Board and the Management Committee.
3. The Editorial Board undertakes to supply articles which are of high quality and original (with the obvious exception of documents reprinted); copy will be supplied two calendar months before publication date in a state ready for publication. Authors will be given 7 days for correction of proofs (between arrival of the proofs and their return).
4. Most articles will be in English: for those in French a summary in English will be added.
5. During the first year a number of addressees to which publicity copies should be sent (apart from those which the publisher deems fit) will be supplied by the Management Committee. Contributors will get one free copy of the issue in which their contribution appears and 20 free offprints. For additional offprints if required, the publisher will take an appropriate fee.
6. The Copyright in the Journal will rest in the Editorial Board. Permission to reprint individual articles will be granted by the Management Committee in consultation with the author of the article, provided that ~~minimum acknowledgement~~ ~~minimum acknowledgement~~ in the Journal and its publisher will be added.
7. The publisher will pay to the Management Committee for each issue the sum of £100 for editorial expenses, including such fees for style correction as will be required in the editorial process.
8. In the event of difficulties or disagreements arising from the publication, a committee of four members of the Editorial Board, namely, A. Schaff, ~~A. Schaff~~, K. Muir, J. Robinson, and J. Tinbergen, will be consulted.

Suggested Membership of Management Committee:

- I. Polanyi, Chairman; H. Campbell, K. McRobbie, K. Muir, A. Schaff, A. Rotstein.

Editorial Committee:

A. Schaff, Chairman; K. Muir, J. Robinson, J. Tinbergen, R. Schlesinger (Editor), K. Levitt & P. Medow (Assistant Editors).

- 1) Be responsible for the day-to-day editorial policy of the Journal.  
2) Meet at least once a year, Management Committee to be responsible to arrange this.

Terms of Reference of Management Committee  
of CO-EXISTENCE Editorial Board

- 1) Secure and hold the copyright on articles.  
2) Receive and expend funds.  
3) Decide the fees to be paid for editorial services.  
4) Prepare a budget and secure contributions for annual meetings of the Editorial Committee.  
5) Manage trust funds deposited with it.  
6) Carry out such other duties as are agreed upon with the Editorial Board.

Editor suggested by:

\*Pergamon Press  
Oxford-London-New York

I. Polanyi  
H. Campbell

①

30 PAGES  
8 PAGES

## THEORY AND PRACTICE OF CENTRALLY PLANNED ECONOMIES AND THEIR RELATIONS WITH MARKET ECONOMIES

□ □

International scientific debate of theoretical and practical problems of centrally planned economies with Western and Eastern contributions.

□ □

370475

0/0 R/0 N/0

### EDITORIAL BOARD:

Gunnar Adler-Karlsson - H. Hallaråker (Editor) - P. Nyboe Andersen - Ragnar Frisch - Leif Johansen - Tom Kronsjö - Erkki Laatto - Gunnar Myrdal - Peino Rossi - Jan Serck-Hanssen - Knud Erik Svendsen

□ □

ITEMS FROM VOL.III, No.3, 1963: ] Late effect

ALEC NOVÉ: The Changing Role of Soviet Prices

J.G. ZIELINSKI: Centralization and Decentralization in Decision-making

ROBERT HORVATH: Development and Present Status of Input-Output Methods in Hungary

RAGNAR FRISCH ass. by H. ALSTADHEIM: The Nonplex Method in its Subconditional Form

DISCUSSION ON VALUE THEORY

LITERATURE

□ □

ITEMS FROM VOL.IV, No.1, 1964: ] Late effect ]

A.A. KONUS: Dynamic Intersector Balances in Perspective Planning

PETER WILES: Competition and Decentralized Planning

A. GHOSH: The Evolution of Planning Techniques and Organization in India

I. RYUDENIK: Mathematical Economics in Czechoslovakia

B.N. MIKHALEVSKY: University Course Programme

LITERATURE

3 issues per year

Annual subscription: \$4,25. Back issues may be obtained. ] Postage

ECONOMICS OF PLANNING  
P.O. Box 7030, Oslo, Norway] 8 CAPS

SET AS TIGHT AS POSS

(7)

- 24P NEW ALL 4/c.

THE JOURNAL OF POLITICS

published quarterly by the Southern Political Science Association in cooperation with the University of Florida, invites you to join its ever increasing circle of readers. The JOURNAL OF POLITICS seeks to maintain the highest professional standards in the publication of interpretative articles covering all of the various sub-fields in the discipline of political science. Contributions cover a wide range of subject matter.

22

SEGMENT

JUL  
5  
20/14

JOURNAL OF POLITICS  
Office of the Managing Editor  
Peabody Hall  
University of Florida  
Gainesville, Florida

Subscriptions] 95/cpls  
Regular \$5.00  
Student \$5.00  
Foreign + Postage \$5.50

2 EDITION.  
8 AFTER 1944

PRINTER: Please arrange this to look nice on one-half of a page. THE JOURNAL OF POLITICS should be in bold type.

9/1/64  
1/2 page ad in exchange for  
co-existent ad which will  
appear in our Nov. 1964 issue  
JLL.

**BY AIR MAIL**

हवाई पत्र  
AEROPRAME  
NO ENCLATURES  
ALLOWED



Professor Karl levitt

Dept of Economics

McGill Univ

Montreal

Canada

Coss. 68

First fold here → ←

Third fold here → ←

Second fold here → ←

Sender's name and address:

H C Neale

Ansare to CK Lodge

New Hyderabad

Lucknow, U.P., India

4 Mar 65

Dear Karl:  
Letter from RS telling me to write  
you some last minute matters.

1) Re signature —

Walter C. Wick  
The University of Texas &  
Planning Research & Action  
Institute, Lucknow

2) Re author's characteristics —

Associate Professor at the  
University of Texas, author of econ-  
omic change in rural India: land tenure  
& reform in Uttar Pradesh 1800-1955  
(New Haven - Yale - 62); and India: The  
Search for unity, Democracy & Progress  
(D Van Nostrand Princeton, 65) Searchlight  
Series #24; presently Fulbright-Hays Re-  
search Fellow at Planning Research &  
Action Institute, Lucknow, UP, India.  
Have had 3 yrs field experience in India.  
OK? Please as you wish.

changes in the text:

p.12, lines 3-4: to read "... by force, which  
will, virtually by definition, require you  
sacrifice of democracy in a country  
composed largely of ~~the~~ farmers.  
The movement ... "

give my love to your mother.

Yrs

Walter